Mathieu groups as Galois groups

Peter Müller

LMU, 10 June 2015

The inverse Galois problem

G finite group, is there $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ with $\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(f(X)/\mathbb{Q}) = G$?

The inverse Galois problem

G finite group, is there $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(f(X)/\mathbb{Q}) = G$?

Yes, if

- G abelian (algebra class)
- G solvable (Shafarevich $+ \varepsilon$)
- ullet G one of the 26 simple sporadic groups, except possibly M_{23}
- many more cases (mainly by rigidity)
- G arbitrary?

The inverse Galois problem

G finite group, is there $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(f(X)/\mathbb{Q}) = G$?

Yes, if

- G abelian (algebra class)
- G solvable (Shafarevich + ε)
- ullet G one of the 26 simple sporadic groups, except possibly M_{23}
- many more cases (mainly by rigidity)
- G arbitrary?

Different base fields

Every finite group is a Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

Theorem (Abhyankar 1993)

$$f(X) = X^{23} + tX^3 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2(t)[X]$$

 $G = \text{Gal}(f(X)/\mathbb{F}_2(t)) = M_{23}$

Theorem (Abhyankar 1993)

$$f(X) = X^{23} + tX^3 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2(t)[X]$$

 $G = \text{Gal}(f(X)/\mathbb{F}_2(t)) = M_{23}$

Proof.

• f(X) irreducible $\Longrightarrow G$ transitive.

Theorem (Abhyankar 1993)

$$f(X) = X^{23} + tX^3 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2(t)[X]$$

 $G = \text{Gal}(f(X)/\mathbb{F}_2(t)) = M_{23}$

Proof.

- f(X) irreducible $\implies G$ transitive.
- $X^{23} + X^3 + 1 = (X^3 + X^2 + 1)(X^5 + \dots)(X^{15} + \dots) \Longrightarrow G$ has elements of order $15 \Longrightarrow G = M_{23}$, A_{23} or S_{23} .

Theorem (Abhyankar 1993)

$$f(X) = X^{23} + tX^3 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2(t)[X]$$

 $G = \text{Gal}(f(X)/\mathbb{F}_2(t)) = M_{23}$

Proof.

- f(X) irreducible $\implies G$ transitive.
- $X^{23} + X^3 + 1 = (X^3 + X^2 + 1)(X^5 + \dots)(X^{15} + \dots) \Longrightarrow$ G has elements of order $15 \Longrightarrow G = M_{23}$, A_{23} or S_{23} .
- Serre's linearization trick: f(X) divides additive polynomial

$$\hat{f}(X) = X^{2^{11}} + t^{16}X^{2^8} + \dots + tX^{2^2} + t^8X^2 + X$$
, hence

$$\mathsf{GL}_{11}(\mathbb{F}_2) \geq \mathsf{Gal}(\hat{f}(X)/\mathbb{F}_2(t)) \twoheadrightarrow G \implies \ 19 \nmid |G| \implies \ A_{23} \nleq G.$$



Serre's trick works . . .

 \dots because \mathbb{F}_2 –permutation module of M_{23} has 11–dimensional submodule (even words of Golay code).

Similar trick works over \mathbb{F}_3 for $M_{11} \leq S_{12}$.

Serre's trick works ...

... because \mathbb{F}_2 -permutation module of M_{23} has 11-dimensional submodule (even words of Golay code).

Similar trick works over \mathbb{F}_3 for $M_{11} \leq S_{12}$.

Conway, McKay, Trojan (2009)

$$X^{23} + X^3 + t$$
 $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{23}
 $X^{24} + X + t$ $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{24}

Serre's trick works . . .

... because \mathbb{F}_2 -permutation module of M_{23} has 11-dimensional submodule (even words of Golay code).

Similar trick works over \mathbb{F}_3 for $M_{11} \leq S_{12}$.

Conway, McKay, Trojan (2009)

$$X^{23} + X^3 + t$$
 $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{23}
 $X^{24} + X + t$ $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{24}
 $X^{12} + X + t$ $\mathbb{F}_3(t)$ M_{11}

Serre's trick works ...

... because \mathbb{F}_2 -permutation module of M_{23} has 11-dimensional submodule (even words of Golay code).

Similar trick works over \mathbb{F}_3 for $M_{11} \leq S_{12}$.

Conway, McKay, Trojan (2009)

$$X^{23} + X^3 + t$$
 $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{23}
 $X^{24} + X + t$ $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{24}
 $X^{12} + X + t$ $\mathbb{F}_3(t)$ M_{11}
 $X^{11} + tX^2 - 1$ $\mathbb{F}_3(t)$ M_{11}

Serre's trick works . . .

... because \mathbb{F}_2 -permutation module of M_{23} has 11-dimensional submodule (even words of Golay code).

Similar trick works over \mathbb{F}_3 for $M_{11} \leq S_{12}$.

Conway, McKay, Trojan (2009)

$$X^{23} + X^3 + t$$
 $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{23}
 $X^{24} + X + t$ $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ M_{24}
 $X^{12} + X + t$ $\mathbb{F}_3(t)$ M_{11}
 $X^{11} + tX^2 - 1$ $\mathbb{F}_3(t)$ M_{11}

Bad characteristic

How to treat M_{23} in characteristic $\neq 2$?

Properties of M_{23}

- $M_{23} \leq S_{23}$ is 4-transitive on $\{1, 2, \dots, 23\}$.
- $|M_{23}| = 23 \cdot 22 \cdot 21 \cdot 20 \cdot 48 = 10200960$.
- $[A_{23}: M_{23}] = 1267136462592000.$
- M_{23} is simple.
- M_{23} is self-normalizing in S_{23} .

Properties of M_{23}

- $M_{23} \le S_{23}$ is 4-transitive on $\{1, 2, \dots, 23\}$.
- $|M_{23}| = 23 \cdot 22 \cdot 21 \cdot 20 \cdot 48 = 10200960$.
- $[A_{23}: M_{23}] = 1267136462592000.$
- M_{23} is simple.
- M_{23} is self-normalizing in S_{23} .

M_{23} as monodromy group of a polynomial

- $\hat{h}(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, such that $\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(\hat{h}(X) t/\mathbb{C}(t)) = M_{23}$,
- (equivalent to) $\mathsf{Mon}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}), z \mapsto \hat{h}(z)) = M_{23}$.
- Existence: Well known and easy (up to Riemann's existence theorem),
- with unique branching type: 1^72^8 , $1^32^24^4$, 23^1 .

Theorem (Matiyasevich 1998 (unpublished), Elkies 2013)

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{23 - 2\sqrt{-23}})$$

 $\hat{h}(X) = X^{23} + complicated lower order terms \in K[X]$:

 $\operatorname{Gal}(\hat{h}(X) - t/K(t)) = M_{23}.$

Theorem (Matiyasevich 1998 (unpublished), Elkies 2013)

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{23 - 2\sqrt{-23}})$$

 $\hat{h}(X) = X^{23} + complicated lower order terms \in K[X]:$
 $Gal(\hat{h}(X) - t/K(t)) = M_{23}.$

Analytic verification of Galois group

Numerically compute monodromy group of cover $egin{align*} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) & \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \ z \mapsto \hat{h}(z) \ \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem (Matiyasevich 1998 (unpublished), Elkies 2013)

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{23 - 2\sqrt{-23}})$$

 $\hat{h}(X) = X^{23} + complicated lower order terms \in K[X]$:
 $Gal(\hat{h}(X) - t/K(t)) = M_{23}$.

Analytic verification of Galois group

Numerically compute monodromy group of cover $egin{align*} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) & \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \\ z & \mapsto \hat{h}(z) \end{aligned}$

Algebraic verification of Galois group, first step

- Pick prime p > 23, such that $h(X) = (\hat{h}(X) \mod p) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$.
- Suffices to show: $\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(h(X)-t/\mathbb{F}_p(t))=M_{23}$. (S. Beckmann)
- Easy: $M_{23} \leq \operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(h(X) t/\mathbb{F}_p(t))$. (Dedekind)
- Need to decide: $Gal(h(X) t/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) = M_{23}$ or A_{23} ?



Verification of Galois group

Naive idea, for small p > 23:

 \bullet M_{23} has two orbits on 5-sets, of lengths 5313 and 28336.

Verification of Galois group

Naive idea, for small p > 23:

- \bullet M_{23} has two orbits on 5-sets, of lengths 5313 and 28336.
- "Compute" polynomial of degree $\binom{23}{5} = 33649$, whose roots are the 5-sums of roots of h(X) t, and "check" if it has a degree 5313 factor over $\mathbb{F}_p(t) \dots$

Verification of Galois group

Naive idea, for small p > 23:

- M_{23} has two orbits on 5-sets, of lengths 5313 and 28336.
- "Compute" polynomial of degree $\binom{23}{5}=33649$, whose roots are the 5-sums of roots of h(X)-t, and "check" if it has a degree 5313 factor over $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$. . .

Using Weil-bound for points on curves (Elkies)

$$\frac{1}{|G|} = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \frac{|\{t_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid h(X) - t_0 \text{ splits into linear factors}\}|}{\rho}$$

Elkies chose $p=10^8+7$: The factorization of 10^8 polynomials mod p was a somewhat extravagant computation (two days of CPU time in gp).



Steiner system S = S(4,7,23)

- $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{P}}=23$ points, $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}=253$ blocks = certain 7-sets from $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{P}}$
- $|\mathfrak{B}|\binom{7}{4} = \binom{23}{4}$ (any 4-set of points contained in exactly one block)

Steiner system S = S(4,7,23)

- $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{P}}=$ 23 points, ${\mathfrak{B}}=$ 253 blocks = certain 7-sets from ${\mathfrak{P}}$
- $|\mathfrak{B}|\binom{7}{4} = \binom{23}{4}$ (any 4-set of points contained in exactly one block)
- ullet $M_{23}=\operatorname{\mathsf{Aut}}(S)$ transitive on ${\mathfrak P}$ and ${\mathfrak B}$

Steiner system S = S(4,7,23)

- $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{P}}=23$ points, $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}=253$ blocks = certain 7-sets from $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{P}}$
- $|\mathfrak{B}|\binom{7}{4} = \binom{23}{4}$ (any 4-set of points contained in exactly one block)
- ullet $M_{23}=\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ transitive on ${\mathfrak P}$ and ${\mathfrak B}$
- $h(X) t = \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{P}} (X x)$. Fix $x_0 \in \mathfrak{P}$, so $t = h(x_0)$.

Steiner system S = S(4,7,23)

- ullet $\mathfrak{P}=23$ points, $\mathfrak{B}=253$ blocks = certain 7-sets from \mathfrak{P}
- $|\mathfrak{B}|\binom{7}{4} = \binom{23}{4}$ (any 4-set of points contained in exactly one block)
- ullet $M_{23}=\operatorname{\mathsf{Aut}}(S)$ transitive on ${\mathfrak P}$ and ${\mathfrak B}$
- $h(X) t = \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{P}} (X x)$. Fix $x_0 \in \mathfrak{P}$, so $t = h(x_0)$.

Associated polynomials

 $x\in\mathfrak{P}$ integral over $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$ and $\mathbb{F}_p[x_0]$, hence

$$H(h(x_0), Y) = H(t, Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y]$$
 (degree 253)

Steiner system S = S(4,7,23)

- ullet $\mathfrak{P}=23$ points, $\mathfrak{B}=253$ blocks = certain 7-sets from \mathfrak{P}
- $|\mathfrak{B}|\binom{7}{4} = \binom{23}{4}$ (any 4-set of points contained in exactly one block)
- ullet $M_{23}=\operatorname{\mathsf{Aut}}(S)$ transitive on ${\mathfrak P}$ and ${\mathfrak B}$
- $h(X) t = \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{P}} (X x)$. Fix $x_0 \in \mathfrak{P}$, so $t = h(x_0)$.

Associated polynomials

 $x\in \mathfrak{P}$ integral over $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$ and $\mathbb{F}_p[x_0]$, hence

$$H(h(x_0), Y) = H(t, Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y] \text{ (degree 253)}$$

$$H_1(x_0, Y) = \prod_{x_0 \in B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_0][Y] \text{ (degree 77)}$$

Steiner system S = S(4,7,23)

- ullet $\mathfrak{P}=23$ points, $\mathfrak{B}=253$ blocks = certain 7-sets from \mathfrak{P}
- $|\mathfrak{B}|\binom{7}{4} = \binom{23}{4}$ (any 4-set of points contained in exactly one block)
- ullet $M_{23}=\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ transitive on ${\mathfrak P}$ and ${\mathfrak B}$
- $h(X) t = \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{P}} (X x)$. Fix $x_0 \in \mathfrak{P}$, so $t = h(x_0)$.

Associated polynomials

 $x\in \mathfrak{P}$ integral over $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$ and $\mathbb{F}_p[x_0]$, hence

$$H(h(x_0), Y) = H(t, Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y]$$
 (degree 253)

$$H_1(x_0, Y) = \prod_{x_0 \in B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_0][Y]$$
 (degree 77)

$$H_2(x_0, Y) = \prod_{x_0 \notin B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_0][Y] \text{ (degree 176)}$$

Lemma (essentially)

If $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ has degree 23, then

$$Gal(h(X) - t/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) = M_{23} \iff H(h(X), Y) = H_1(X, Y)H_2(X, Y)$$

for some $H(t,Y) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y]$ irreducible of degree 253, and $H_1(X,Y), H_2(X,Y) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X][Y]$ of degrees 77 and 176.

Lemma (essentially)

If $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ has degree 23, then

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(h(X)-t/\mathbb{F}_p(t))=M_{23}\iff H(h(X),Y)=H_1(X,Y)H_2(X,Y)$$

for some $H(t,Y) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y]$ irreducible of degree 253, and $H_1(X,Y), H_2(X,Y) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X][Y]$ of degrees 77 and 176.

How to compute ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x)$$

from h(X)? Certainly not as a degree 253 factor of the degree $\binom{23}{7} = 245157$ polynomial

$$\prod_{C \in {\mathfrak{P} \choose 1}} (Y - \sum_{x \in C} x).$$

Computation of ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y] = \mathbb{F}_p[\tau^{23}][Y]$$

by explicit determination of $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak B$:

Computation of ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t][Y] = \mathbb{F}_{p}[\tau^{23}][Y]$$

by explicit determination of $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak B$:

$$L(\tau) = \tau + a_0 + a_1 \tau^{-1} + a_2 \tau^{-2} + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_p((1/\tau)).$$

Computation of ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y] = \mathbb{F}_p[\tau^{23}][Y]$$

by explicit determination of \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} :

• $h(X) - t = h(X) - \tau^{23} = 0$ has a root

$$L(\tau) = \tau + a_0 + a_1 \tau^{-1} + a_2 \tau^{-2} + \dots \in \mathbb{F}_p((1/\tau)).$$

• $\mathfrak{P} = \{L(w\tau) \mid w \in W\}$ where $W \leq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^*$ with |W| = 23.

Computation of ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y] = \mathbb{F}_p[\tau^{23}][Y]$$

by explicit determination of $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak B$:

$$L(\tau) = \tau + a_0 + a_1 \tau^{-1} + a_2 \tau^{-2} + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_p((1/\tau)).$$

- $\mathfrak{P} = \{L(w\tau) \mid w \in W\}$ where $W \leq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^*$ with |W| = 23.
- ullet W acts regularly on ${\mathfrak P}.$

Computation of ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y] = \mathbb{F}_p[\tau^{23}][Y]$$

by explicit determination of $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak B$:

$$L(\tau) = \tau + a_0 + a_1 \tau^{-1} + a_2 \tau^{-2} + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_p((1/\tau)).$$

- $\mathfrak{P} = \{L(w\tau) \mid w \in W\}$ where $W \leq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^*$ with |W| = 23.
- ullet W acts regularly on ${\mathfrak P}.$
- There are only two continuations of W to an action of M_{23} , so there are only two candidates for \mathfrak{B} . One of them works!

Computation of ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t][Y] = \mathbb{F}_p[\tau^{23}][Y]$$

by explicit determination of $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak B$:

$$L(\tau) = \tau + a_0 + a_1 \tau^{-1} + a_2 \tau^{-2} + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_p((1/\tau)).$$

- $\mathfrak{P} = \{L(w\tau) \mid w \in W\}$ where $W \leq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^*$ with |W| = 23.
- ullet W acts regularly on ${\mathfrak P}.$
- There are only two continuations of W to an action of M_{23} , so there are only two candidates for \mathfrak{B} . One of them works!
- Suffices to work with truncated Laurent series.

Computation of ...

$$H(t,Y) = \prod_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} (Y - \sum_{x \in B} x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t][Y] = \mathbb{F}_{p}[\tau^{23}][Y]$$

by explicit determination of $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak B$:

$$L(\tau) = \tau + a_0 + a_1 \tau^{-1} + a_2 \tau^{-2} + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_p((1/\tau)).$$

- $\mathfrak{P} = \{L(w\tau) \mid w \in W\}$ where $W \leq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^*$ with |W| = 23.
- ullet W acts regularly on \mathfrak{P} .
- There are only two continuations of W to an action of M_{23} , so there are only two candidates for \mathfrak{B} . One of them works!
- Suffices to work with truncated Laurent series.
- No need to factor H(h(X), Y) to obtain $H_1(X, Y)$ and $H_2(X, Y)$. Work in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p((1/x_0))!$

More general case

Want to upper bound $G = \operatorname{Gal}(P(X) - tQ(X)/k(t)) \leq S_n$. Method works best,

- if there is a set B with $2 \le |B| \le n-2$, and $[G:G_B]$ small,
- there is an inertia generator with few cycles (hence few potential candidates for B), and
- k is a finite field (otherwise the coefficients of Laurent series explode).

For instance, it works well for Granboulan's M_{24} -polynomial.

Reverting the technique to find polynomials

Using $H_1(x_0, Y)$

$$\mathsf{Gal}(\mathit{h}(X)-t/\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{p}}(t))=\mathit{M}_{23}\Longrightarrow \prod_{x_{0}\in \mathit{B}\in\mathfrak{B}}(\mathit{Y}-\sum_{x\in \mathit{B}}x)\in \mathbb{F}_{\mathit{p}}[x_{0}][\mathit{Y}],$$

hence

$$S_k = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_0 \in B \in \mathfrak{B}} (\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in B} \mathbf{x})^k \in \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{x}_0] \text{ for all } k \ge 0.$$

Reverting the technique to find polynomials

Using $H_1(x_0, Y)$

$$\mathsf{Gal}(\mathit{h}(X)-\mathit{t}/\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{p}}(\mathit{t}))=\mathit{M}_{23}\Longrightarrow \prod_{x_{0}\in \mathit{B}\in\mathfrak{B}}(\mathit{Y}-\sum_{x\in \mathit{B}}x)\in \mathbb{F}_{\mathit{p}}[x_{0}][\mathit{Y}],$$

hence

$$S_k = \sum_{x_0 \in B \in \mathfrak{B}} (\sum_{x \in B} x)^k \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_0] \text{ for all } k \ge 0.$$

On the other hand, with $x_0=1/z$, ω a 23-rd root of unity, and m>0, the roots x_i of h(X)-t=h(X)-h(1/z) are

$$x_i = \frac{\omega'}{z} + \text{higher order terms} = A_i(z) + O(z^m) \in \mathbb{F}_p((z)), \text{ hence}$$

$$\mathbb{F}_{\rho}[1/z]\ni S_k=\sum_{\mathsf{x}_0\in B\in\mathfrak{B}}(\sum_{\mathsf{x}_i\in B}A_i(z))^k+O(z^{m+1-k}).$$

Reverting the technique to find polynomials

Strategy:

- Set $h(X) = a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots + a_{21}X^{21} + X^{23} \in \mathbb{F}_p[a][X]$.
- For m>0 compute $x_i=A_i(z)+O(z^m)\in \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{a}]((z))$.
- For $k=1,2,\ldots,m-1$ collect the coefficients of z^j with $j\geq 1$ in $\sum_{x_0\in B\in\mathfrak{B}}(\sum_{x\in B}A_i(z))^k$. They all have to vanish!
- Solve this system of polynomial equations for the unknowns a.

Results:

- For p=47 get Elkies' polynomial within a few seconds (compared to 46 CPU hours by refined standard approach).
- One can also compute the Laurent series and Gröbner bases over \mathbb{Q} instead of \mathbb{F}_p . Then a naive Sage implementation takes a few minutes to get the degree 4 number field over which the polynomial is defined.